UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,019	10/25/2005	Ernst Torndal Binderup	3893-0213PUS2	5973
	7590 05/17/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/532,019	BINDERUP ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sabiha Qazi	1612	

	Sabilia Qazi	1012	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in complianc	vit, or other evidence, v e with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH (f).	ng date of the final rejection HE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further co	,	OTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		Joolog oldimo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphane / amonamone (1 102 02 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable ii sabiiiiles iii a separate	, timely mod amendmen	nt dandeling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attrached Information Displaceure Statement(s). 		in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(F 10/30/00) Fapel 140(S).		
	/Sabiha Qazi/		
	Primary Examiner, Art	Unit 1612	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as amended rewuire futher search. Obviousness rejection is maintained. Rejoinder of method claims as at page 14 of FR mailed on 12/11/09 must meet the requirements. In order to retain the right to rejoinder, applicant is advised that the claims to the nonelected invention(s) should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the elected invention. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Rejoined claims must be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Rejection under 112 (1) is withdrawn. See also MPEP § 804.01. The IDS filed on 4/12/10 has not been considered because there is no reason why these references were not presented before. Further relevancy of all the documents listed in IDS are not disclosed.